



UNITED STATES Patent and Trademark Office

Address: .COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		95 ISHII	D
08/571	802 12/13	95 130111	

HM22/0504

JANELLE D WAACK ARNOLD WHITE AND DURKEE P 0 BOX 4433 HOUSTON TX 77210-4433

EXAMINER				
PAK,M				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1646	24			
DATE MAILED:	05/04/00			

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

a) Si is extended to run 4 punks or confence to ron from the date of the final rejection b) so expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.134(a), the proposed disponse and the appropriate for the purp with the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purp will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Rifel is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed \$14\limes 24\limes 26\limes 26\limes 27\limes	
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and also the date for the date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed ### Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed #### Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed #### Applicant's response to the final rejection stands because: 1. **Miner is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. **Miner y raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. **Miner y raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. **Miner y raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. d. **They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. **They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). e. **Miner y raise new issues that would require further consideration in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the Issues for appeal. e. **Miner y raise new issues that would reject to response the following rejection to the file your of finally rejected claims. NOTE: **Miner y rejected claims.** NOTE: **Miner y rejected claims.** 1. **Miner y re	
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR . 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed #Hollatoo (From NJ . 23) Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed #Hollatoo (From NJ . 23) Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed #Hollatoo (From NJ . 23) The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a	no
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 4/10/2000 (Prop. No. 2.) Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 4/10/2000 (Prop. No. 2.) The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. A They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The hearty and of function would be used for a set and for the condition with the final rejected claims. NOTE: They proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: They proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: They proposed or amended claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: They proposed or amended claims without cancelling an appeal, the proposed amendment would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. We upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered. Will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Your However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavia, exhibit ar request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the function of the affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown and reasons why it was not earlier info.	
to place the application in condition for allowance: 1.	
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and least and formulation would be a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The least and le	ber
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (b.) The burn punched limitation would be proposed claims. NOTE: (b.) The burn punched limitation would be proposed or mended claims. NOTE: (b.) The burn punched limitation would be proposed or mended claims. NOTE: (b.) The burn punched limitation would be proposed or mended claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims. would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. We upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Note the claims. Claims rejected: 24 - 21 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, authibiter request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the author of the affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown and authorisms when the ariter presented.	
c. In they raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The includes an arministic of a considered because applicant has not shown and rejection because the including a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The includes without a considered by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. NOTE: The includes without a considered by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. NOTE: The includes without a considered by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. NOTE: The includes without a considered by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. NOTE: The includes additional claims without and includes a considered by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing the simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reducing the simplifying the issues for appeal by materially reduci	
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: D. The body annuled limitation would using for the considering final to the normal of the result of the number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: D. The body annuled limitation would using for the considering final to the number of finally rejected limitation. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normallowable claims. Note: D. The work annuled limitation is assigned to submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal allowed: I would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the normal submitted in a separately filed amendment	
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The hundran number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The hundran number of finally rejected claims. The number of finally rejected claims. And the number of finally rejected claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. We upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: None Claims objected to: None Claims rejected: 24 - 7 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit oxibibitor request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the number of the affidavit oxibibitor for exception for the status of the claims will be an accordant to the status of the claims will be accordant to the number of the status of the claims will be accordant to the status of the claims will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: 1. The affidavit oxibibitor request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the number of the status of the claims will not be entered and the status of the claims will not be entered and the status of the claims will not be entered and the status of the claims will not be entered and the status of the claims will not be entered and the status of the claims will not be entered and the status of the claims.	
NOTE: (b.) The jump numbed limitation would begin the case during with search to the case during with search and an arms and require a stand account of the sumb and an arms and require to the sumb at the following the sum of that are given to the sum of that are given to the non-allowable claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. When the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 24 - 21 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	r
Newly proposed or amended claims	
the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: NONL Claims objected to: You Claims rejected: 24 - 7 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The afficient provided of the consideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the consideration for the provided claim instation for without and are the right for the afficient for the considered because applicant has not shown ontreasons why it was not earlier presented.	and 103 a
be as follows: Claims allowed:	illing
Claims objected to:	di
Claims rejected: 24-71 However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit exhibiter request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the analysis for the following rejection(s): 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown and reasons why it was not earlier presented.	
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered but does not overcome the rejection because the considered because applicant has not shown and considered b	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit exhibiter request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the accordance of the following file and the state of the	
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown presented.	
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown presented. 3. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown presented.	 ,
Info. presented.	_wy
HILD).	ier
Interview Summary; drawing correction in as in as not been approved by the exam.	_
	7
Notice of Draftperson's Pa.	,
Notice of Informal Patent Applicatio.	

★ U.S. GPO: 1996-404-496/40517